

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,148	YAMASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(3) _____.

(2) Melissa Patrick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

48 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms. Patrick that upon review of the preliminary amendment dated 9/22/03 it was noted that all the method claims in the case had been cancelled except for claims 48 and 49. The examiner asked if these claims were to be cancelled as well. Ms. Patrick answered in the affirmative. Thus the claims in the case are all held to an apparatus are claims 1-26..